NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

School District Report Card 2016-2017

House Municipal Schools

School Grading Summary The district grade is determined by the **District Grade C** average of school grades in the district. For a description of status, see page 2. **Total Number** Percent **Schools Rated in District** 3 100.0 0 **Schools in Priority Status** 0.0 0 0.0 **Schools in Focus Status** Schools in Strategic Status 0 0.0 Schools in Reward Status 0 0.0

Source: PED Accountability Bureau

What are school grades?

School Grading is part of state and federal law that mandates accountability for all public schools. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) enacted in 1965 requires schools to show annual improvement in mathematics and reading. New Mexico statute specifies additional requirements that schools demonstrate progress through an A-F letter grade for each school. Individual school report cards can be found online at http://aae.ped.state.nm.us/.

What are School District Report Cards?

Each LEA under the jurisdiction of the Public Education Department (PED) annually receives a comprehensive report of their achievement, accountability, teacher qualifications, and post-secondary success. This report is compiled for 89 districts that include regular and locally authorized charter schools, and all state-authorized charter schools. Non-PED schools are exempt from both school grading and School District Report Cards and include private, home, and Bureau of Indian Education schools.

What is contained in this report?

This report provides a concise summary of the LEA and its schools:

- LEA Demographic Profile
- Accountability
 - Summaries of School Grades
 - · Cohort Graduation Rates (4, 5, and 6 Year)
 - $^{\circ}$ Status of Non-Graduates
- Achievement
 - \circ Proficiencies in Reading, Mathematics, and Science
 - NAEP Statewide Summary for Grades 4 and 8
- School Board Member Training
- Budgeted Expenditures
- Teacher Credentials
- Post-Secondary Achievement (College Going, Credit Accumulation)
- Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

Definitions and Abbreviations

<u>LEA</u> Local Educational Agency is a broad term that encompasses districts with multiple schools or independent state-authorized charter schools. Locally authorized charter schools are not LEAs and are reported with their parent district.

Subgroups

SWD:

Asian: Asian or Pacific Islander
Afr Am: African American
Amer Indian: American Indian
Cauc: Caucasian

ELL: English Language Learners

ED: Economically Disadvantaged as determined by

eligibility for Free or Reduced Price Lunch Program

Students with disabilities; does not include special

education students who are gifted

Q1: The lowest performing 25% (one quarter) of students

in reading or mathematics

Q3: The higher performing 75% (three quarters) of

students in reading or mathematics

<u>High/Low Poverty Schools</u> Schools with students most economically disadvantaged (top 25%) and least disadvantaged (bottom 25%).

<u>Recently Arrived</u> These are ELL students new to U.S. schools who qualify for exemption from the reading assessment.

Student Demographics									
	LEA		State						
	Number	Number %		%					
All Students	73	0.0	336,326	100.0					
Female	33	45.2	164,089	48.8					
Male	40	54.8	172,237	51.2					
Caucasian	57	78.1	81,394	24.2					
African American	1	1.4	7,600	2.3					
Hispanic	14	19.2	206,348	61.4					
Asian	0	0.0	4,457	1.3					
American Indian	1	1.4	35,884	10.7					
ED	28	38.4	249,348	74.1					
SWD	13	17.8	52,927	15.7					
ELL	0	0.0	45,669	13.6					
Migrant	0	0.0	428	0.1					
Recently Arrived	0	0.0	16,801	5.0					
	Source: LEA 12	Oth-day	submission to th	ie PED					

Accountability - School Grading and Status

Status refers to schools that are in some form of improvement that requires increased monitoring and educational enhancement. The improvement categories are

*** Priority Status (5% of schools that are lowest performing)

- ** Focus Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups)
- * Strategic Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups)
- ^ Reward Status (the top 5% of schools in the state)

A school's status is footnoted next to its overall letter grade and, where blank, means the school is not in any status. Only schools receiving Title I funds are eligible, which in 2017 represented 685 schools.

School	Overall Grade	School	Overall Grade
House Elementary	D	House High	В
House Junior	С		

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Grade

The assessments were developed to measure grade-level standards that New Mexico educators and the public determined are important for students to master. Results include all students enrolled within the LEA or school, regardless of whether for a full academic year or not. Students are assessed in reading and mathematics in grades 3-11 and in science in grades 4, 7, and 11. Note that proficiencies do not include the assessment for grades KN, 1 and 2.

		Re	ading	Mathe	matics	Scie	nce
Grade		Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)
3	State Current	27	73	30	70		
3	State Prior	25	75	30	70		
3	LEA Current						
3	LEA Prior						
4	State Current	26	74	23	77	40	60
4	State Prior	25	75	23	77	43	57
4	LEA Current						
4	LEA Prior						
5	State Current	30	70	24	76		
5	State Prior	25	75	26	74		
5	LEA Current		-				
5	LEA Prior						
6	State Current	26	74	20	80		
6	State Current	24	76	20	80		
6	LEA Current	24	70	20	00		
6	LEA Prior						
		27	73	17	83	45	55
7	State Current	23	77	18	82	45	55 55
7	State Prior LEA Current	23	11	10	02	40	55
7	LEA Current						
			7.4	0.1	70		
8	State Current	29	71	21	79		
8	State Prior	26	74	20	80		
8	LEA Current						
8	LEA Prior						
9	State Current	26	74	17	83		
9	State Prior	27	73	18	82		
9	LEA Current						
9	LEA Prior						
10	State Current	32	68	15	85		
10	State Prior	32	68	13	87		
10	LEA Current	≤ 20	≥ 80	25	75		
10	LEA Prior						
11	State Current	43	57	9	91	35	65
11	State Prior	45	55	10	90	39	61
11	LEA Current	55	45				
11	LEA Prior						
Blanks or m	issing rows indicate	too few students to re	port (N<10)				

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Subgroup										
	<u> </u>	Rea	ading	Mathen	natics	Science				
		Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)			
All Students	State Current	37	63	20	80	40	60			
All Students	LEA Current	23	77	22	78	50	50			
Female	State Current	42	58	20	80	39	61			
Female	LEA Current	25	75	27	73					
Male	State Current	32	68	20	80	42	58			
Male	LEA Current	22	78	20	80	58	42			
Caucasian	State Current	52	48	33	67	61	39			
Caucasian	LEA Current	31	69	33	67	64	36			
African American	State Current	34	66	15	85	37	63			
African American	LEA Current									
Hispanic	State Current	33	67	16	84	34	66			
Hispanic	LEA Current	≤ 20	≥ 80	≤ 20	≥ 80					
Asian	State Current	61	39	50	50	66	34			
American Indian	State Current	26	74	11	89	22	78			
American Indian	LEA Current									
Economically Disadvantaged	State Current	31	69	15	85	32	68			
Economically Disadvantaged	LEA Current	19	81	16	84	43	57			
Students w Disabilities	State Current	19	81	9	91	18	82			
Students w Disabilities	LEA Current	≤ 20	≥ 80							
English Language Learners, Current	State Current	20	80	10	90	16	84			

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by School									
	Re	Reading		natics	Science				
	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)			
House Elementary	44	56							
House High	25	75	19	81					
House Junior	≤ 20	≥ 80	29	71					

Budgeted Expenditures

Locally authorized charter schools manage their budgets independently of their parent district. For detailed information please contact either the individual school or the PED Budget and Finance Office for the budget analyst assigned to that school. The district summary includes its locally authorized charter schools.

	Amount \$	Percent %
Capital Outlay	\$92,685	4.5
Central Services	\$84,624	4.1
Community Services	\$0	0.0
Debt Service	\$54,927	2.7
Food Services	\$54,695	2.7
General Administration	\$146,169	7.1
Instruction	\$1,214,193	58.8
Instruction Support Services	\$10,000	0.5
Operations & Maintenance	\$194,684	9.4
Other Support Services	\$0	0.0
School Administration	\$0	0.0
Student Support Services	\$83,458	4.0
Student Transportation	\$128,386	6.2
	Source: PED School Budget a	nd Financial Analysis Bureau

School Board Training

School board members must accumulate five points during the year by attending specific training. These figures do not reflect additional training that board members may have received.

Board Member	Number of Points					
Bill Noland	35					
Calvin Downey	10					
Clint Runyan	9					
Phillip Runyan	10					
Rachelle Moon	17					
	Source: NM School Board Association					

Graduation - 4-Year Cohort of 2016

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2016, and graduated on time. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All Students %	Caucasian %	Afr Amer %	Hispanic %	Asian %	Amer Indian %	ED %	SWD %	ELL %
State Current	71	76	61	71	81	63	67	62	67
LEA Current	51	76							
House High	57								
House Junior	*								
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).						Source	e: PED Acc	countability E	Bureau

Graduation - 5-Year Cohort of 2015

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2015, and either graduated on time or required one additional year. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All Students %	Caucasian %	Afr Amer %	Hispanic %	Asian %	Amer Indian %	ED %	SWD %	ELL %
State Current LEA Current	75 63	79 62	68	74	84	71	72	68	73
House High	77	02							
House Junior Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).	*					So	urce: PED	Accountabili	ity Bureau

Graduation - 6-Year Cohort of 2014

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2014, and either graduated on time or required up to two additional years. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All		Afr			Amer			
	Students	Caucasian	Amer	Hispanic	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
State Current	79	83	76	78	91	75	75	72	76
LEA Current	28								
House High	28								
House Junior	*								
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).						So	urce: PED	Accountabili	ty Bureau

Graduation - 4-Year Cohort of 2016, Status of Non-Graduates

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2016, but did not graduate. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students. Percentages do not use the Shared Accountability method of calculation. For details see the Cohort Graduation Rate Technical Manual on the PED website:

http://ped.state.nm.us/ped/Graduation guides.html.

intip.//ped.state.iiii.us/ped/draddation_guide	3.11(1111.			
	Certificate	Status Unknown	Exit Out	Still Enrolled
	Completed coursework	Dropped out	Exited with intent to	Continued high school
	but did not	or whereabouts	get GED or	enrollment
	pass exit exam	unknown	vocational credential	past 4th year
	%	%	%	%
State Current	<2	16	4	15
LEA Current		26.0		23.0
House High				
House Junior				
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).			Source	e: PED Accountability Bureau

College Going and College Credit Accumulation

These figures represent students who graduated in 2015 (College Going) and 2013 (Credits Earned) and were tracked for post-secondary education both inside and outside the state.

Eligible Students earning a regular high school diploma.

Enrolled Students who enrolled in an institution of higher education within 16 months of earning a regular high school diploma.

Credits Earned Students who enrolled and earned one year of college credit within two years of enrollment.

		All		Afr			Amer			
		Students	Cauc	Amer	Hisp	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
House Municipal Schools	Eligible	12	10							
House Municipal Schools	Enrolled in state									
House Municipal Schools			Page	4 of 6		Schoo	District F	Report	Card 201	6-2017

		All Students N	Cauc N	Afr Amer N	Hisp N	Asian N	Amer Indian N	ED N	SWD N	ELL N
House Municipal Schools	Credits Earned									
House High	Eligible	12	10							
House High	Enrolled in state									
House High	Credits Earned									
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).							Source: N	ational S	Student Clea	ringhouse

				•
		State	ewide	LEA
			%	%
		0	.3	0.0
High Povert	y Schools	N	IA	NA
Low Povert	y Schools	2	.4	.0
nigh or low pove	rty.			
	Degree*	Core C	lasses Not	
Number				by Highly
			Qualifie	d Teachers
Teachers	<u> </u>	%		%
6	50.0	50.0		0.0
12	41.7	50.0		0.0
12	41.7	50.0		0.0
	Sc	ource: LEA 120th	-day submi	ssion to PED
	Number of Teachers 6	Number of Bachelor's % 6 50.0 12 41.7 12 41.7	High Poverty Schools Low Poverty Schools 2 high or low poverty. Highest Degree* Bachelor's Advanced Teachers % % 6 50.0 50.0 12 41.7 50.0 12 41.7 50.0	Low Poverty Schools 2.4 high or low poverty. Highest Degree* Of Bachelor's Advanced Qualifie 6 50.0 50.0 12 41.7 50.0

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

- Q1 My child is safe at school.
- Q2 My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3 My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4 School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5 The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6 School staff maintain consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7 My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8 My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9 The school staff employ various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10 My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

	Survey		Agree and Strongly Agree (% of Respondents)									
	Count	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	
Districtwide	22	100	95	100	95	86	91	86	95	95	90	
House Elementary	12	100	100	100	100	83	92	83	100	100	90	
House High	5	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	80	100	80	
House Junior	5	100	80	100	80	80	80	80	100	80	100	
Source: PED anonymous survey collected from parents annuall												

National Assessment of Educational Progress Statewide Results

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is often called the "Nation's Report Card" because it allows the comparison of student achievement across states and for the nation as a whole. The sampling method does not allow for reporting results by district or by school. For further information please visit http://NCES.ED.Gov/NationsReportCard.

NAEP does not replace assessments that annually measure student performance according to New Mexico curriculum standards. All students are required to take the standards-based assessments, whereas the NAEP selects representative samples of students and districts. Because not all subject areas or grade levels are tested every year, these statewide results are for the most recent year assessed in that subject area and grade.

Statewide Participation 2015											
	Reading %	Math %	Science %								
4th Grade ELL	91	95	95								
4th Grade SWD*	93	88	93								
8th Grade ELL	92	95	96								
8th Grade SWD*	89	90	92								

^{*} NAEP does not accommodate students with severe

4th	Reading (2015)				Math (2015)				Science (2015)				
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	
New Mexico	4	19	31	46	3	24	47	27	#	24	40	37	
Nation	8	27	33	32	7	32	42	19	1	36	39	25	

8th	Reading (2015)				Math (2015)				Science (2015)			
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %
New Mexico	1	19	45	35	3	17	41	39	1	20	35	45
Nation	3	29	42	25	8	24	38	30	2	31	34	33

Rounds to zero